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Enterprise Software – where are we now?
Complexity, what complexity?

- SAML
- WS-Security
- WS-Transactions
- XACML
- WS-MetadataExchange
- WS-Policy
- WS-ReliableMessaging
- WS-I BasicProfile
- SOAP 1.1
- SOAP 1.2
- UDDI
- .NET
- OpenID
- SCA
- HTTP
- REST
- WS-Policy
- WS-ReliableMessaging
- JMS
- SMTP
- Atom
- XML
- XML Schema
- WS-Transactions
- WS-Security
- WS-MetadataExchange
- WS-Policy
- WS-ReliableMessaging
- BPEL
- BPMN
- JSP
- WSDL 1.1
- WSDL 2.0
- XML Schema
- EJB
- Java
What are the problematic details of enterprise software?

- Very complex (read – expensive)
- Concepts vary from vendor to vendor
  - even from tool to tool within vendors!
- People forced to make a significant investment based on particular vendors tools
- Tied to a platform, it is difficult to refactor and reuse business logic
Abstractions are essential, if they're the right ones.

- Java EE solves lots of problems by pushing programming problems to the “container”.
- Brought together technologies in a pre-“services” world.
- Now we need something for the services world...
Is it going to get better?
Yes! SCA means to help! And here's how...

- Defining common concepts for design, implementation, configuration, and deployment
- Clear(er) separation of roles
- Isolating business logic from environment
- Separates design “intent” from how functionality gets exposed
SCA brings together all of your SOA related technologies into one context.

- Unifies how business logic exposes itself via services, and accesses other services via references
- Less vendor lock-in over time
- ... sounds great – so what's the catch?
There are just a few gotchas lurking around SCA.

- Fully extensible set of specifications
- Not fully baked – OASIS TCs still in progress
- Vendor support?
SCA has clear benefits, but brings new complexity. Now what do I do?
What techniques make sense to apply to using SCA to keep the problems tractable?

- Avoid the full of complexity of the specification
- Develop centers of expertise, and start small
- Establish conventions
- Stick to a single vendor
- Maybe even *ignore* the vendors
- Above all, apply the KISS principle
Avoid the full complexity of the specification.

- Pick parts that will help you out *right now*
- Use it for a particular purpose
- Follow expected paths
Develop centers of expertise and start small.

- Pick a core group of people that will start with SCA
- Use this group to figure out what is appropriate
- Invest in exposing them to a variety of sources of information and training
- Have additional teams start using SCA only after core team is ready to mentor
Establish clear conventions for use.

- Even better – use conventions set out by a vendor's tool
- Validate conformance to those conventions
- Examples:
  - Always/never assign policy intents to references
  - Use only agreed-upon implementation and binding types
  - Never use “wires” stand-alone
  - Use only single-part WSDL messages
Stick to a single vendor.

- Do you constantly switch database, compiler, and operating system vendors...?
- Pressure the vendor of your choice to satisfy your needs
- Learn the specifics of the vendor's tools, exploit them when appropriate.
What do you mean by “ignore the vendors!?” How can that work?

- **Maybe you think it is too early to get on the bandwagon?**

- **Options:**
  - Start learning the specifications – learn the terms, concepts, patterns, and approaches
  - Apply SCA ways of thinking in your architecture
  - Use it like you would UML – diagram your services

- **... and you're a lot further along when the vendors catch up to you.**
Continually reassess how you measure up to the KISS principle.

- SCA, BPEL, SDO, JAX-WS, SOAP, WS-ReliableMessaging, JMS, WSDL – do you really need it *all*?
- *Unnecessary* complexity is the enemy of
  - Predictability
  - Reliability
  - Security
Conclusion

- Get on the SCA bandwagon
- Do so cautiously
- ... and of course, I think you should use TIBCO products to do so
Questions?
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